



SDMS Doc ID 2013260

2013760

EPA**Technical Direction Document
(TDD)**

09-98-03-0011


START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal		Created On: 03/29/1998	
Task: Site Screening		DPO/PO: Karen Nelson	
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor		Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard	
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/1998		Task Codes: J; HR	
Site/Project Name: Site Screening		Estimated Cost: \$49,000.00	
County Name: San Francisco		Estimated Hrs: 700	
City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA		Dedicated: 70	
SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Non-Dedicated: 630	
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment		Deliverable: Final Report	
DCN #(s):		Overtime: Not Applicable	
H7J007 () Site Assessment \$49,000.00		Reference: No	
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$49,000.00		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated	
Hours: 700		Priority: High	
Dedicated Hours: 70			
Non-Dedicated Hours: 630			

Comments: The contractor shall complete CERCLA Site Screening Checklist and Letter Report. Before initiating the screening activities, the contractor shall meet with the task monitor. Site files from the EPA Records Center along with staff working files will be reviewed for the TDD. The contractor shall discuss a working schedule for submittal in both draft and final the deliverables required of the TDD. A pproximately 10 Prioritizations/Letter Reports will are estimated as necessary for completion by April 10, 1998. New sites will be submitted on a monthly basis. Not all sites will require Site Screening Checklists; all sites will require Letter Reports.

Deliverables	Number
Site Screening Checklist - Hardcopy and via diskette	20
Letter Report - Hardcopy and via diskette	20

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Philip Armstrong/R9/USEPA/US on 03/27/1998 05:57:28 AM, according to ,




Philip Armstrong

03/27/1998

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By:

Project Officer:



Karen Nelson

03/29/1998

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Karen Nelson
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 03/30/1998
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$49,000.00	Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/1998
Estimated Hours: 700	
Dedicated: 70	
Non-Dedicated: 630	

Acceptance Comments:

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

03/30/1998

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-A

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 03/31/1999		Created On: 12/17/1998 DPO/PO: Karen Nelson Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR; J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco , CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost : \$0.00 Estimated Hrs: 0 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 0
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): H7J007 () Site Assessment \$0.00		Deliverable: Final Report Overtime: No Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$49,000.00 Hours: 700 Dedicated Hours: 70 Non-Dedicated Hours: 630		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: Medium

Comments: The contractor shall complete CERCLA Site Screening Checklist and Letter Report. Before initiating the screening activities, the contractor shall meet with the task monitor. Site files from the EPA Records Center along with staff working files will be reviewed for the TDD. The contractor shall discuss a working schedule for submittal in both draft and final the deliverables required of the TDD. A pproximately 10 Prioritizations/Letter Reports will are estimated as necessary for completion by April 10, 1998. New sites will be submitted on a monthly basis. Not all sites will require Site Screening Checklists; all sites will require Letter Reports.

Deliverables	Number
Site Screening Checklist - Hardcopy and via diskette	20
Letter Report - Hardcopy and via diskette	20

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Karen Nelson/R9/USEPA/US on 12/17/1998 04:26:16 PM, according to /US

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Karen Nelson/R9/USEPA/US on 12/17/1998 04:26:16 PM, accord

Project Officer:

12/17/1998

Karen Nelson

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-A

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Karen Nelson
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 12/21/1998
Task: Site Screening	Priority: Medium
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$0.00	Estimated Completion Date:03/31/1999
Estimated Hours: 0	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 0	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 12/21/1998 07:39:50 AM, according to /USI

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

12/21/1998

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-B

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 06/30/1999	Created On: 03/04/1999 DPO/PO: Karen Nelson Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco , CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:	Estimated Cost : \$0.00 Estimated Hrs: 0 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 0
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): H7J007 () Site Assessment \$0.00	Deliverable: Final Report Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: Yes - Pick Up
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$49,000.00 Hours: 700 Dedicated Hours: 70 Non-Dedicated Hours: 630	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: Medium

Comments: This amendment extends the period of performance for the TDD to June 30, 1999.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Karen Nelson/R9/USEPA/US on 03/04/1999 05:36:15 PM, according to /US

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Karen Nelson/R9/USEPA/US on 03/04/1999 05:36:15 PM, accord

Project Officer:

Karen Nelson

03/04/1999

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Signed On:

Contractor:

START Contract #: 68-W6-0010
Date Created: 03/10/1999
Site/Project Name: Site Screening
County Name: San Francisco
City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA
SSID #: CERCLIS #:

1. Contractor Representatives:

Jim James

2. Description of TECHNICAL DIRECTION GIVEN - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 03/11/1999 1

1. GAO/R-9 Site Screening Checklist ("Prioritization"): Due: as negotiated
 - a. Organize files for the "eligible" universe according to Region 9 and GAO categories
 - b. Identify sites with prioritization's
Update data base as appropriate (Yes, No)
 - c. Review sites with prioritization's and update data base as appropriate (High, Med, Low)
 - d. Develop plan to do prioritization's or equivalent write up.
 - e. Implement plan "d" after scoping session with task monitor.

2. Quality Assurance Review - Prioritization's Due: 3/17

- a. High Priority Sites - As noted
 - Magnetic Peripheral
 - Signetics
 - Sinclair Paint

3. Prioritizations Due: 3/19

- a. Brandenburg, Staedler
- b. Woten Aviation

Other Notes:

Task monitor will meet with contractor on 3/11 to deliver database and prioritizations

I certify that the above technical direction has been provided to assist the contractor in the performance of the above cited TDD.


Matt Mitguard

03/10/1999

Signed On:

3. Contractor Comments - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 03/11/1999 11:35:04 AM, according to /U

I acknowledge receipt of this technical direction.



Maria Cruz

03/11/1999

Signed On:

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Karen Nelson
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 03/08/1999
Task: Site Screening	Priority: Medium
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$0.00	Estimated Completion Date: 06/30/1999
Estimated Hours: 0	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 0	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 03/08/1999 07:21:50 AM, according to /US/

Accepted by:



Maria Cruz

03/08/1999

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-C

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 10/31/1999		Created On: 06/24/1999 DPO/PO: Karen Nelson Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost: \$10,000.00 Estimated Hrs: 200 Dedicated: 20 Non-Dedicated: 180
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ9007 () Site Assessment \$10,000.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$59,000.00 Hours: 900 Dedicated Hours: 90 Non-Dedicated Hours: 810		Staffing: Dedicated Staff Priority: Medium

Comments: This amendment extends the period of performance for the TDD to October 31, 1999.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Matt Mitguard/R9/USEPA/US on 06/16/1999 05:42:05 PM, according to /U
06/16/1999

Matt Mitguard

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Celeste Temple/R9/USEPA/US on 06/24/1999 04:56:08 PM, according to /U
06/24/1999
Project Officer:

Celeste Temple

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-C

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Karen Nelson
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 06/24/1999
Task: Site Screening	Priority: Medium
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated Staff
Estimated Cost : \$10,000.00	Estimated Completion Date: 10/31/1999
Estimated Hours: 200	
Dedicated: 20	
Non-Dedicated: 180	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 06/24/1999 05:06:49 PM, according to /US/

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

06/24/1999

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-D

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 03/31/2000		Created On: 09/21/1999 DPO/PO: Celeste Temple Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost: \$40,500.00 Estimated Hrs: 540 Dedicated: 30 Non-Dedicated: 510
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): H7J038 () Site Assessment \$40,500.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$99,500.00 Hours: 1,440 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 1,320		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: Medium

Comments: This amendment extends the period of performance for the TDD to March 31, 2000.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Matt Mitguard/R9/USEPA/US on 09/21/1999 03:32:34 PM, according to /U
09/21/1999

Matt Mitguard

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Jeannie Holler/R9/USEPA/US on 09/21/1999 03:45:58 PM, according to /U

Contract Officer:

Jeannie Holler

09/21/1999

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-D

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Celeste Temple
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 09/21/1999
Task: Site Screening	Priority: Medium
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$40,500.00	Estimated Completion Date: 03/31/2000
Estimated Hours: 540	
Dedicated: 30	
Non-Dedicated: 510	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 09/21/1999 03:49:13 PM, according to /USI

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

09/21/1999

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-E

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 03/31/2000		Created On: 11/19/1999 DPO/PO: Celeste Temple Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost: \$0.00 Estimated Hrs: 350 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 350
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): H7J038 () Site Assessment \$0.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$99,500.00 Hours: 1,790 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 1,670		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: High

Comments: This Amendment E adds additional hours to the TDD at no additional costs to allow contractor to continue work. The period of performance remains 3/00.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 11/19/1999 02:46:49 PM, according to /I

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 11/19/1999 02:46:49 PM, acco

Contract Officer:

11/19/1999

Barbara Bycsek

Signed On:


Contractor:

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Celeste Temple
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 11/22/1999
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$0.00	Estimated Completion Date: 03/31/2000
Estimated Hours: 350	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 350	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 11/22/1999 07:43:54 AM, according to /US/

Accepted by:



Maria Cruz

11/22/1999

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-F

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 06/30/2000	Created On: 01/07/2000 DPO/PO: Barbara Lee Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:	Estimated Cost: \$51,000.00 Estimated Hrs: 690 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 690
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ8041 () Site Assessment \$51,000.00	Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$150,500.00 Hours: 2,480 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 2,360	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: Medium

Comments: Amendment F adds additional funds of \$51,000 and 690 non-dedicated LOE hours and extends the completion date from 03/31/00 to 06/30/00. The scope of the project is unchanged. Funding allows for approximately 30 additional sites to be evaluated.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Barbara Lee/R9/USEPA/US on 01/07/2000 11:33:33 AM, according to /USF
12/22/1999

Barbara Lee

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 01/07/2000 02:26:10 PM, according to /USF
Project Officer: 01/07/2000

Barbara Lee

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Barbara Bycsek

01/07/2000

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-F

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Barbara Lee
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 01/10/2000
Task: Site Screening	Priority: Medium
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$51,000.00	Estimated Completion Date: 06/30/2000
Estimated Hours: 690	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 690	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 01/10/2000 07:13:34 AM, according to /USI

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

01/10/2000

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document
Amendment

09-98-03-0011-G

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 09/30/2000		Created On: 03/22/2000 DPO/PO: Barbara Lee Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost: \$51,000.00 Estimated Hrs: 690 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 690
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ9007 () Site Assessment \$51,000.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$201,500.00 Hours: 3,170 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 3,050		Staffing: Non-Dedicated LOE Priority: High

Comments: Amendment G adds additional funds of \$51,000 and 690 non-dedicated LOE hours and extends the completion date from 06/30/00 to 9/30/00. The scope of the project is unchanged. Funding allows for approximately 30 additional sites to be evaluated.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Matt Mitguard/R9/USEPA/US on 03/21/2000 02:09:25 PM, according to /U
03/21/2000

Matt Mitguard

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Kathy Meltzer/R9/USEPA/US on 03/22/2000 03:24:09 PM, according to /U
Project Officer: 03/22/2000

Celeste Temple

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

Katherine Meltzer

03/22/2000

Signed On:

Contractor:

Subject: Site Screening Report

Readers: All Users

in Region(s): 09

Body: - Signed by Celeste Temple/R9/USEPA/US on 03/23/2000 11:50:13 AM, according to .
list of sites from site screening report.

File Attachments, Tables, Figures, Etc.:



Sstscree1.wk4

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
1	site_name	gao_category	sst_manager	cerclis_code	r9_prior	cr	sst_decision_des
2	ANACONDA	New SI		NV0000000000			
3	NAVAJO - DIAMOND #2 URANIUM	II. Potentially Eligible		NN986675189			
4							
5	GENERAL ELECTRIC - TUCSON	V. Not Eligible For NPL		AZD0000000000			
6	LAVA CAP MINE (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Mitguard	CAD983618893	High		Forward Site to RDT
7	VAN WATERS & ROGERS (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Johnson	AZD983479809	High		
8	THIO CHEMICAL	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD983467846	High		
9	STOKER CO	IV. NPL Not Approved By Gv	Douglas/??	CAD066635442	High		Other
10	MISSION LINEN	New SI	Lee	AZSFN0905412	High		Forward Site to RDT
11	SANTA YSABEL CREEK GW - (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Mitguard	CAD983659152	Low		Low Priority Site
12	BASIC MANAGEMENT, INC.	III. Recommended NPL		NVD074150798	High		
13	HI ALI MOTEL	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZ0001300177	High		
14	OHLINGER INDUSTRIES	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD982007817	High		
15	BROADWAY LANDFILL-NORTH	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD983471426	High		
16	SONEE HEAT TREATING, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD061088811	High		
17	MCMAMARA & PEEPE	New SI	Inglis/EE	CA0001097088	High		Recommended for ESI
18	PINTO VLY COPPER CORP (CITIES	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZT050010040	High		
19	PHELPS DODGE VERDE MINE	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD983475773	High		
20	CLARE CLEANERS	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD983485046	High		Site does not score
21	INTEL CORP PHOENIX CAMPUS	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD091235457	High		
22	CHINA LAKE NAVAL WEAPONS STATI	II. Potentially Eligible	Douglas	CA2170023152	High		RCRA Deferral Candidate

(2)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
23	PLUMAS NATIONAL FOREST	II. Potentially Eligible	Armstrong	CA1122307639	High	Other Clean Up Action	
24	MAKUA MILITARY RESERVATION ORD	II. Potentially Eligible	Federal Facility	HI7210022227	High	Site does not score	
25	MARE ISLAND NAVAL SHIPYARD	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	CA7170024775	High	RDT Completed - Listing package	
26	ALAMEDA NAVAL AIR STA	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Huetteman	CA2170023236	High	RDT	
27	JOHNSTON ATOLL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI4210090003	Low		
28	NELLIS AFB	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	NV7570024110	High		
29	NEVADA TEST SITE	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	NV1890090011	High		
30	EL PASO NATURAL GAS SITE	II. Potentially Eligible		NND000690842	Low		
31	ROCKWELL INTL-ROCKETDYNE DIV.	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA1800090010	Low		
32	OAKLAND NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER/AL	II. Potentially Eligible		CA1170090012	Low		
33	NASA AMES RESEARCH CENTER	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA1800005034	Low		
34	BRIDGEPORT MTN WARFARE TRAIN'G	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA3170090127	Low		
35	LAYKE, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD981630635	Low		
36	TRIPLER ARMY MEDICAL CENTER	II. Potentially Eligible		HI9210022241	Low		
37	LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION	II. Potentially Eligible		CA3170024381	Low		
38	NRTF LAULUAFEI (AKA NCTAMS)	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI9170090055	Low		
39	TREASURE ISLAND NAVAL STATION	II. Potentially Eligible		CA7170023330	Low		
40	COOPER DRUM CO	IV. NPL Not Approved By Gv		CAD055753370	Med	Other	
41	HONEYWELL DEER VALLEY COMPUTER	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD054408794	Low		
42	NAVAJO - UPPER SALT ROCK URANI	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667590	Low		
43	NAVAJO - FRANKS PT/VCA PLOT#6	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986675049	Low		
44	RCA ANTENNA FARM	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980736193	Low		

(3)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
45	OAKLAND NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER	II. Potentially Eligible		CA4170090027	Low		
46	U S NAVY EXCHANGE LAUNDRY FACI	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI3170090069	Low		
47	NAVAJO - BEGAY #1 URANIUM MINE	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667517	Low		
48	NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER PORT MOLAT	II. Potentially Eligible		CA0170090021	Low		
49	NAVAJO - VANADIUM CORP. OF AME	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667475	Low		
50	PRESIDIO OF SAN FRANCISCO	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA7210020791	Low		
51	RINCHEM	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD982007338	Low		
52	TUSTIN MARINE CORPS AIR STATIO	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA9170090022	Low		
53	FARALLON ISLANDS	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981159585	Low	Other Clean Up	
54	SAN FRANCISCO MINE	New SI	Shipley	AZ0000032433	Low	Low Priority Site	
55	NAVAL SUBMARINE BASE-SAN DIEGO	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA3170024555	Low		
56	PACIFIC FRUIT EXPRESS CAR REPA	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD045804325	Low		
57	OLD PAYSON DRY CLEANERS	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD983479882	Low		
58	KOCIDE CHEMICAL	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD130582224	Low		
59	PHELPS DODGE CORP NEW CORNELIA	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD081687063	Low		
60	MOGUL CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD045810553	Low		
61	ARIZONA AIR NAT GUARD SUPP MAI	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	AZD980880876	NFA	Site does not	
62	HONEYWELL	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD980735542	Low	score	
63	AIR FORCE PLANT 42	II. Potentially Eligible	Federal Facility	CA7570090079	Low		
64	NORTH ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION	II. Potentially Eligible		CA7170090016	Low		
65	NAVAJO - SALT CANYON MINES	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667467	Low		
66	LANSDALE TRANSISTOR & ELEC	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD081707762	Low		
67	SIERRA ARMY DEPOT	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA5210020843	Low		
68	NAVAL RADIO TRANSMITTING FACIL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA9170090055	NFA	Site does not	
69	NAVAL COMM AREA MASTER STA WES	V. Not Eligible For NPL		GU6170090009	Low	score	
70	MIRAMAR NAVAL AIR STATION	II. Potentially Eligible		CA9170024740	Low		
71	NAVAJO VCA PLOT 7 URANIUM MINE	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667426	Low		
72	MIDLAND ROSS CORP CAPITOL CAST	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZT000611426	Low		
73	REYNOLDS METALS CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD008394249	Low		

5

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
74	ASARCO, INC. HAYDEN PLANT	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD008397127	Low	for ESI	
75	NAVAJO - CARRIZO MINE	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667491	Low		
76	MARINE CORPS BASE HAWAII	II. Potentially Eligible		HI6170022762	Low		
77	NAVAJO - WILLIAMS POINT MINE,	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986673283	Low		
78	NAVAL AIR STA AGANA	V. Not Eligible For NPL		GU0170027320	Low		
79	CALIFORNIA AIR NATIONAL GUARD	II. Potentially Eligible		CA0572825909	Low		
80	BRASWELL DESERT LAUNDROMAT	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZ0001038504	Low	Site does not score	
81	NATIONAL METALS CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD983466392	Low		
82	NAVAL SUPPLY CENTER, ALAMEDA A	II. Potentially Eligible		CA4170090597	Low		
83	ARIZONA AIR NAT GUARD (AFP 44)	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	AZ9573124055	Low	Other	
84	NAVAL AUXILIARY LANDING FIELD	II. Potentially Eligible		CA4170090456	Low		
85	FORT IRWIN NAT TRAINING CTR	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA5213790038	Low		
86	BROADWAY LANDFILL-SOUTH	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD983471418	Low		
87	WHEELER AIR FORCE BASE	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI3573028732	Low		
88	PACIFIC MISSILE TEST CTR	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA9170027271	Low		
89	TWENTYNINE PALMS MCAGCC	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Mitguard	CA0170090013	NFA	Site does not score	
90	ABRAMS AIRBORNE MANUFACTURING	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD009405309	Low		
91	NAVAJO - BEGAY #2 URANIUM MINE	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667509	Low		
92	U.S. COAST GUARD OMEGA STATION	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI1690330740	Low		
93	STORED TRANSFORMER-PCB	V. Not Eligible For NPL		GUD980817845	Low	Other	
94	HAMILTON AFB	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA3570024288	Low		
95	U.S. AIR FORCE WAIKAKALAU FUE	II. Potentially Eligible		HI0000145011	Low		
96	MIDWAY IS NAVAL AIR STA	V. Not Eligible For NPL		GU6170027332	Low		
97	HAWTHORNE ARMY AMMUNITION PLT	V. Not Eligible For NPL		NV1210090006	NFA		
98	PORT HUENEME NAVAL CONSTR BATT	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA6170023323	Low		
99	NAVAL SHIPYARD LONG BEACH	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA1170090483	Low		
100	NAVAJO - CANYON VIEW (ALONGO C	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667533	Low		
101	NAVAJO RED WASH POINT URAN. MI	II. Potentially Eligible		NND986667459	Low		

(5)

Sstscre1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
102	LONG BEACH NAVAL STA	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CA2170023194	Low		
103	DAVIS TRANSMITTER SITE	II. Potentially Eligible		CA6570090500	Low		
104	STOCKTON NAVAL COMMUNICATION S	II. Potentially Eligible		CA2170024382	Low		
105	BELLOWS AIR FORCE STATION	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI3570028719	Low	Low Priority Site	
106	SCHOFIELD BARRACKS SAN LDFL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI3210022239	Low		
107	VANGUARD WEST INC	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Johnson	AZD058790957	Low		
108	VANADIUM CORP. OF AMER PLOT 10	II. Potentially Eligible		NND983468034	Low		
109	DAVIS MONTHAN AFB	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Federal Facility	AZ4570024055	Low		
110	GREY EAGLE MINE	New SI	Mitguard	CAD000629923	New		
111	PEMACO MAYWOOD (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Douglas	CAD980737092	High	RDT Completed - Listing package	
112	MAGNETICS PERIPHERALS, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee	CAD085304020	Low	Low Priority Site	
113	BALAKLALA MINE	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD980814867	OCU	Other Clean Up Action	
114	SINCLAIR PAINT CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD076242064	Low	Low Priority Site	
115	PENN MINE	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD983567454	Low	Other Clean Up Action - Federal	
116	GOLDEN TECHNOLOGY	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD983644915	OCU	Other Clean Up Action	
117	SEAL BEACH NAVAL WEAPONS STA	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Hogan	CA0170024491	Low	Other Clean Up Action	
118	MAMMOTH MINE (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Shipley	CAD980814925	High	RDT Completed - Listing package	
119	STAUFFER CHEM CO RICHMD (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Lee	CAD009123456	High	RDT Completed - Other	
120	NORWALK DUMP (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Hogan	CAD980636369	Low	Low Priority Site	
121	ALMETCO	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD983644956	High		
122	GRAND WAY CLEANERS	II. Potentially Eligible	Johnson	AZD982411092	High	Other Clean Up Action - Federal	

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
123	ROLLING PROPERTY (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Johnson	AZ0000309195	High		
124	ALARK HARD CHROME (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Lee	CAD098229214	High	RDT Completed - Listing package	
125	LOS ANGELES CHEMICAL CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD008287732	Low	Other Clean Up Action	
126	TORRANCE LDFL	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Shipley	CAD980499040	NFA	Site does not score	
127	LAND PARCEL	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD983577792	Low	Low Priority Site	
128	J&S CHROME PLATING	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD982400343	OCU	Forward Site to RDT	
129	CORNELL-DUBILIER ELECTRONICS D	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD980815104	OCU	Decide not to forward the site at this time	
130	PAPERMATE MANUFACTURING CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD054861539	Low	Low Priority Site	
131	ONSTOTT DUSTERS, INC.(1)	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee	CAD982417230	Low	Not NPL; Forward to Removal	
132	SIERRA VISTA PROP/CAL WEST	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD982400285	Low	Not NPL; Forward to Removal	
133	MARTIN ADAMS DUMP	II. Potentially Eligible	ESI - 2000	0923199	High	Recommended for ESI	
134	VICTORIA GOLF COURSE	II. Potentially Eligible	ESI - 2000	CAD980818926	High	Recommended for ESI	
135	ORANGE AVE DSPL SITE	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Shipley	CAD050379213	Low	Low Priority Site	
136	GALLO GLASS - SOUTH FLD (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Shipley/EE	CAD009107749	High	Low Priority Site	
137	BROWN AND BRYANT, INC. SHAFTER	III. Recommended NPL	Chow/EE	CAD009531823	High		

7

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
138	PRECISION ANODIZING AND PLATIN	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD067629873	High	Additional Information Required	
139	PG&E SHELL CHEMICAL CO.POND	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD980637128	Low	Low Priority Site	
140	PACIFIC ALUMINUM CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD058425059	High	Additional Information Required	
141	GARDENA VALLEY DUMP NUMBER 4	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD000568865	High	Recommended for ESI	
142	ALLIED CHEMICAL CORP. EL SEGUN	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan/EE	CAD008326589	High	Decide not to forward the site at this time	
143	POWERINE OIL CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD008383291	High	Additional Information Required	
144	CHROME CRANKSHAFT	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD055780167	OCU	Decide not to forward the site at this time	
145	GBF & PITTSBURG DUMPS (OTHER)	IV. NPL Not Approved By Gv	Mitguard	CAD980498562	None	Other	
146	FULTON SHIPYARD	II. Potentially Eligible	Eugenia	CAD009151762	Low	Low Priority Site	
147	CHEEK SKYLINE LOGGING	II. Potentially Eligible	Zuroski	CAD983645011	High		
148	BOWLES FLYING SERVICE, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD982358640	Low	Low Priority Site	
149	CRAFTON REDLANDS AREA (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Seter	CAD981997638	High	Forward Site to RDT	
150	TROJAN PLATING CO.#1	II. Potentially Eligible	Mitguard	CAD982360489	High	Recommended for ESI	
151	FMC CORP. ALKALI CHEM DIV (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Chow/EE	CAD000625798	High	Low Priority Site	



	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
152	SAN JOAQUIN DRUM CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD981997695	High	Other Clean Up Action	
153	CROWN ZELLERBACH CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAT000623959	OCU	Other Clean Up Action	
154	CAL COMPACT	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD980735955	OCU	Forward Site to RDT	
155	US POLYMERIC	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Lee	CAD046054664	Low	Low Priority Site	
156	LIBERTY HIGH SCHOOL	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Lee	CAD983566498	Low	Low Priority Site	
157	SO PACIFIC TRANS CO	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Seter	CAD980638415	Low	Other Clean Up Action	
158	AMPEX CUPERTINO FACILITY	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD982359333	Low	Low Priority Site	
159	ORANGE COAST PLATING	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Mitguard	CAD008508848	Low	Other	
160	REDLANDS AIRPORT (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Hogan	CAD84984046	Low	Low Priority Site	
161	ANADITE, INC. (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Seter	CAD008286551	Low	Low Priority Site	
162	LOCKHEED PROPULSION CO. (*)	III. Recommended NPL	Seter	CAD980893093	High	Forward Site to RDT	
163	FRESNO CHROME PLATING, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Mitguard	CAD083167841	High		
164	MURRIETA CREATIVE ACADEMY	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee	CAD982463820	Low	Low Priority Site	
165	THATCHER GLASS MANUFACTURING C	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD044398956	High		
166	SUNSTAR FACILITY	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982403669	High		
167	STOLLER, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee/EE	CAD042253658	High		
168	KUSTOM FIT HI-TECH SEATING PRO	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee	CAD983576190	Low	Low Priority Site	
169	CHATHAM BROTHERS	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD990663361	OCU	Forward Site to RDT	
170	BREA AGRICULTURAL SERVICES #2	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD068847870	Low		

9

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
171	J N J SALES & SERV. CARNEY & S	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD980636773	High	Forward Site to RDT	
172	WOTEN AVIATION SERVICES, INC.	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee/EE	CAD085595551	High		
173	VENDO CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Russell	CAD068856491	High		
174	FIRST AVENUE CLEANERS	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD983567439	Low		
175	KAISER REFRACTORIES DIV LABORA	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD980637490	Low		
176	OLD SAND CITY DUMP	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982399974	NFA	Site does not score	
177	FLAIR CUSTOM CLEANERS	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980677462	Med		
178	XEROX CORP. FACILITY	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD982400103	Med		
179	SOUTHWEST METAL CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAT000624106	High		
180	OLD DRY CLEANER	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD981164510	High		
181	HALACO ENGINEERING CO.	II. Potentially Eligible	Hogan	CAD009688052	High		
182	B C CHEMICAL	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD055765440	High		
183	VALETERIA DRY CLEANERS	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD983616012	High		
184	FRESNO AIR TERM - CA AIR NTL G	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD983602855	High	Forward Site to RDT	
185	WHITE ROCK ROAD	II. Potentially Eligible	Shipley	CAD980675326	High		
186	LIVERMORE SEWAGE PONDS	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Mitguard	CAD982400434	NFA	Site does not score	
187	STAUFFER CHEMICAL CO. MARTINEZ	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD053049490	High		
188	ALAMEDA STREET SANITARY LANDFI	II. Potentially Eligible	Seter	CAD980636393	Med		
189	NOBLE PROPERTIES	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Seter	CAD981990021	Low	Low Priority Site	
190	CALCOT COMPRESS & WAREHOUSE	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Russell	CAD982400509	Low		
191	ELECTRO FORMING CO.	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD983646779	Low		
192	STAUFFER CHEM CO DOMINGUEZ PLT	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAT000611202	NFA	Site does not score	
193	KERN COUNTY DUMP	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980817886	Low		
194	PINOLE POINT STEEL CO.	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAT080012354	Low		
195	GOLDEN EAGLE REFINING CO INC	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD062080064	Med		

10

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
196	WICKES FOREST INDUSTRIES	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD000627109	Low		
197	VISALIA DISPOSAL SITE	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980499057	Med		
198	UNITED MINING CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible	Lee/EE	NVD981989627	High		
199	BRANDENBERG, STAEDLER & MOORE	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAD981671647	NFA	Site does not score	
200	DAVIS DUMP	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980498513	Low		
201	ADAMS INTERNATIONAL METALS COR	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982391161	Low		
202	CUMMINGS ROAD SANITARY LANDFIL	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD982401549	Low		
203	RIO TINTO COPPER MINE	V. Not Eligible For NPL		NV3141190030	Low	Recommended for ESI	
204	ALMADEN QUICKSILVER PARK	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982029613	Low		
205	GARDENA SUMPS	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980637730	Low		
206	G & R METALS	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD983646068	Low		
207	ELKINS RANCH	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD980817639	NFA	Site does not score	
208	WESTERN DIGITAL CORP..	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD051983567	Med		
209	LOUSIANA PACIFIC-FORT BRAGG ST	II. Potentially Eligible		CA0001097153	Low		
210	EGAN MILLING CO INC	V. Not Eligible For NPL		NVD980419550	Low		
211	THOMAS RANCH	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD980886832	Low		
212	LEW SMITH	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD981677222	Low		
213	SIMPSON PLYWOOD MILL (OLD)	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD983644881	Low		
214	RIVER PARK DEVELOPMENT-LINCOLN	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981676430	Low		
215	BARRICK GOLD STRIKE MINE - BLM	V. Not Eligible For NPL		NVD000626531	Low		
216	NEVILLE CHEM CO - ANAHEIM	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD008364150	Med		
217	CAPRI PUMPING SERVICE	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD000042879	Med		
218	VAN PATTEN LYLE	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD008391641	Low		
219	MACGILLIS & GIBBS CO.	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980887335	Med		
220	ARATEX SERVICES	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAT080010630	Low		
221	SANTA MONICA WELL FIELD	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980884274	Low		
222	SAN JOSE GRAPHICS	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD044869469	Low		
223	KCAC, INC. - UNION CARBIDE CO.	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD003944782	Med		

(11)

Sstscree1 wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
224	CRANE CO	II Potentially Eligible		CAD066135690	Low		
225	HEWLETT PACKARD (AVANTEK)	II Potentially Eligible		CAD009442484	Med		
226	AURORA CANYON MILLSITE	II Potentially Eligible		CA5141190566	Low		
227	BREWER CHEMICAL CORP	II Potentially Eligible		HID000630251	Low	Recommended for ESI	
228	MADERA MUNICIPAL AIRPORT	II Potentially Eligible		CAD980636898	Med		
229	LICK JAMES MANSION	II Potentially Eligible		CAD980735971	Med		
230	DUNCAN ENTERPRISES	II Potentially Eligible		CAD009103672	Low		
231	SAN BERNARDINO CO LDFL	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD000606541	Low		
232	JERVIS B WEBB CO	II Potentially Eligible		CAD008339467	Med		
233	INTEGRATED DEVICE TECHNOLOGY,	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982464356	Low		
234	PG&E GAS PLANT FRESNO	II Potentially Eligible		CAD981415912	Low		
235	GRIFFIN WHEEL DUMP	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD983633744	Low		
236	FIRESTONE TIRE & RUBBER CO	II Potentially Eligible		CAD008375776	Med		
237	WESTERN FARM SERVICE INC	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD009167602	Low		
238	STAUFFER CHEMICAL CO	II Potentially Eligible		CAD008353211	Med		
239	DOUGLAS COUNTY SANITARY LANDFI	II Potentially Eligible		NVD980817662	Low		
240	DODSON BROTHERS OIL COMPANY	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAT080014194	Low		
241	BONZI SANITARY LANDFILL	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD058410374	Low		
242	RIVERSIDE PLATING (K&N PLATING	II Potentially Eligible		CAD981172125	Low		
243	REISNER METALS	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD008384307	Low		
244	PARKWAY CLEANERS	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD981994932	NFA	Site does not score	
245	ZEP MFG CO	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD077188910	Low		
246	WILBUR & ELLIS	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD028210920	Low		
247	ARQUES CORPORATION (AMETEK SIL	V Not Eligible For NPL		CAD095983417	Low		
248	DATA GENERAL CORP	II Potentially Eligible		CAD069104255	Med		
249	RIVERSIDE CEMENT CO ORO GRAND	II Potentially Eligible		CAD008296402	Med		
250	ICORE INTERNATIONAL	II Potentially Eligible		CAD982004269	Med		
251	HENDERSON LANDFILL	V Not Eligible For NPL		NV1141190024	Low		
252	GEER ROAD LANDFILL - STANISLAU	II Potentially Eligible		CAD983578097	Low		
253	GARRETT CORP , AIR RESEARCH	II Potentially Eligible		CAD020146189	Low		
254	MODESTO CONVENTION CENTER	II Potentially Eligible		CAD982399768	Low		

(12)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 1

A	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
255	MILLIKEN SANITARY LANDFILL	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980695076	Med		
256	WAIAKEA POND/HAWAIIAN CANE PRD	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HID982400475	Low		
257	NEVILLE CHEM CO - SANTA FE SPR	V. Not Eligible For NPL	Seter	CAD008362758	High		
258	ROYAL AUTO BODY & TOWING	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981694318	Med		
259	RIVERSIDE CEMENT CO. CRESTMORE	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD063844443	Low		
260	PROTO ENGINEERING CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981677404	Low		
261	HONOLULU SKEET CLUB	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI0000768382	Low		
262	HANFORD FOUNDRY CO.	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981630833	Med		
263	AUTO WRECKING YD PROP	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982358277	Low		
264	MODESTO DISPOSAL SERVICES	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD046014007	Low		
265	WAIMANALO GROUNDWATER INVESTIG	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HI0000989707	Low		
266	SOUTHGATE NORGE CLEANERS	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD981968464	Med		
267	SIGNETICS CORP.	II. Potentially Eligible	Chow	CAT000614099	Med		
268	KALAMAULA LDFL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HID980497192	Low		
269	KAILUA-KONA LANDFILL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		HID980497184	Low	Site does not score	
270	CALIFORNIA CORRECTIONAL INSTIT	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD983650052	Low		
271	XEROX CORP FACILITY BLDG 1	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD982399859	Low		
272	ACME AUTO WRECKERS	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD983644998	Low		
273	TRIGON CORP.	V. Not Eligible For NPL		NVD076105402	NFA	Site does not score	
274	ECODYNE COOLING PRODS DIV	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD086605987	NFA	Site does not score	
275	RIVER BELLE MOBILE HOME	II. Potentially Eligible		NVD986768232	Low		
276	BAUGH, MS. WILSON	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD983646050	Low		
277	PACIFIC STATES STEEL	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD980363030	Low		
278	WATSONVILLE LANDFILL	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD980499081	Med		
279	WESTERN WOOD TREATING INC	V. Not Eligible For NPL		CAD021185541	Low		
280	ELTRA CORP. C & D BATTERIES DI	II. Potentially Eligible		CAD041651027	Low		

13

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2 of 4

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
1	r9_category	sa_complete	r9_priority_chkl	tier_code	ff	sst_scheduled	state	county	dtsc
2	New SI				N				
3	II. Potentially Eligible				N		NN		
4					N				
5	V. Not Eligible for NPL				N		AZ		
6	III. Recommended NPL		HRS	Tier 1	N		CA	Nevada	Sacramento
7	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N		AZ		
8	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 2	N		AZ		
9	IV. NPL Not Approved By Gvnr		HRS	Tier 2	N		CA	Imperial	Cypress
10	New SI		HRS	Tier 2	N		AZ		
11	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N		CA	San Diego	Cypress
12	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N		NV		
13	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
14	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
15	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
16	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
17	New SI		N	Tier 3	N		CA	Del Norte	Sacramento
18	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
19	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
20	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
21	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N		AZ		
22	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 4	Y		CA	Riverside	Cypress



A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
23	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 4	Y		CA	Plumas	Sacramento
24	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 4	Y		HI		
25	III. Recommended NPL		HRS	Tier 4	Y		CA	Solano	Berkeley
26	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 4	Y		CA	Alameda	Berkeley
27	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 4	Y		HI		
28	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 4	Y		NV		
29	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 4	Y		NV		
30	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
31	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
32	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Alameda	Berkeley
33	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
34	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	Mono	Cypress
35	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
36	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
37	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Kings	Sacramento
38	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
39	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Francisco	Berkeley
40	IV. NPL NOT Approved by Gvnr		N	Tier 5	N		CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
41	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
42	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
43	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
44	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	Marin	Berkeley

15

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
45	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Alameda	Berkeley
46	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
47	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
48	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
49	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
50	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Francisco	Berkeley
51	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
52	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	Orange	Cypress
53	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		CA	San Francisco	Berkeley
54	New SI	N	N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
55	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Diego	Cypress
56	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
57	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
58	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
59	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
60	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
61	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	Y		AZ		
62	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
63	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Los Angeles	Cypress
64	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Diego	Cypress
65	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
66	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
67	V. Not Eligible for NPL		Y	Tier 5	Y		CA	Mono	Cypress
68	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Solano	Sacramento
69	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		GU		
70	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Diego	Cypress
71	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
72	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
73	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		

(11)

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
74	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
75	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
76	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
77	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
78	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		GU		
79	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
80	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
81	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
82	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Alameda	Berkeley
83	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		AZ		
84	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Diego	Cypress
85	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
86	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
87	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
88	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Ventura	Glendale
89	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
90	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
91	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
92	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
93	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N		GU		
94	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Marin	Berkeley
95	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
96	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		GU		
97	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		NV		
98	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Venice	Glendale
99	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Los Angeles	Cypress
100	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
101	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		

(17)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
102	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	Y		CA	Los Angeles	Cypress
103	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	Yolo	Sacramento
104	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		CA	San Joaquin	Sacramento
105	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
106	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y		HI		
107	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N		AZ		
108	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N		NN		
109	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y		AZ		
110	New SI		N	Tier 6	N		CA	Siskiyou	Sacramento
111	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 1	N	12/17/98 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Cypress
112	II. Potentially Eligible	N	Y	Tier 5	N	3/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
113	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	5/18/99 00:00:00	CA	Shasta	Sacramento
114	II. Potentially Eligible	N	Y	Tier 3	N	6/16/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
115	II. Potentially Eligible	N	N	Tier 2	N	7/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Calaveras	Sacramento
116	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	7/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
117	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 4	Y	7/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Cypress
118	III. Recommended NPL		Y	Tier 2	N	7/21/99 00:00:00	CA	Shasta	Sacramento
119	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	9/22/99 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
120	III. Recommended NPL	N	Y	Tier 2	N	9/22/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
121	II. Potentially Eligible	N	N	Tier 2	N	9/22/99 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
122	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	9/22/99 00:00:00	AZ		

17

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
123	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	AZ		
124	III. Recommended NPL	Y	Y	Tier 2	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Riverside	Cypress
125	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
126	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
127	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
128	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
129	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
130	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
131	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Sutter	Sacramento
132	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
133	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
134	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
135	V. Not Eligible for NPL		Y	Tier 5	N	10/20/99 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
136	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacra
137	III. Recommended NPL		Y	Tier 2	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Kern	Clovis/Sacra

19

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
138	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Glendale
139	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
140	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacrar
141	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
142	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
143	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
144	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
145	IV. NPL Not Approved By Gvnr		N	Tier 5	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
146	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	11/17/99 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
147	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 2	N	1/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Trinity	Sacramento
148	II. Potentially Eligible	N	Y	Tier 2	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Sutter	Sacramento
149	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
150	II. Potentially Eligible	N	Y	Tier 2	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
151	III Recommended NPL		Y	Tier 2	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacrar

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
152	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Kern	Clovis/Sacra
153	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
154	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
155	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Cypress
156	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Solano	Berkeley
157	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	San Francisco	Berkeley
158	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
159	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	1/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Cypress
160	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
161	III. Recommended NPL		Y	Tier 2	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
162	III. Recommended NPL		N	Tier 2	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
163	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
164	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Riverside	Cypress
165	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
166	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Tulare	Clovis
167	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
168	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
169	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	San Diego	Cypress
170	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	San Joaquin	Sacramento

(12)

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
171	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	2/16/00 00:00:00	CA	Venice	Glendale
172	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 2	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Riverside	Cypress
173	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
174	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Butte	Sacramento
175	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
176	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Monterey	Berkeley
177	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Butte	Sacramento
178	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	3/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Cypress
179	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
180	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 2	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
181	II. Potentially Eligible		HRS	Tier 2	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Venice	Glendale
182	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Kern	Clovis/Sacra
183	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 2	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Cruz	Berkeley
184	II. Potentially Eligible	N	N	Tier 3	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
185	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Sacramento	Sacramento
186	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Alameda	Berkeley
187	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
188	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
189	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
190	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	3/29/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
191	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
192	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
193	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Kern	Sacramento
194	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Contra Costa	Berkeley
195	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale

22

Sstscres1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
196	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Solano	Sacramento
197	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	4/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Tulare	Clovis
198	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 3	Y	5/17/00 00:00:00	NV		
199	II. Potentially Eligible	N	Y	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
200	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Glendale
201	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Glendale
202	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Humboldt	Sacramento
203	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	NV		
204	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
205	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Cypress
206	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Humboldt	Sacramento
207	V. Not Eligible for NPL		Y	Tier 5	N	5/17/00 00:00:00	CA	Venice	Glendale
208	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	5/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Cypress
209	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Mendocino	Berkeley
210	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	NV		
211	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Riverside	Cypress
212	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
213	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Humboldt	Sacramento
214	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
215	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	Y	6/21/00 00:00:00	NV		
216	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Orange	Glendale
217	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
218	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	6/21/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
219	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Madera	Sacramento
220	V. Not Eligible for NPL		Y	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
221	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
222	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
223	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Monterey	Berkeley

23

Sstscre1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
224	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
225	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
226	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	Y	7/19/00 00:00:00	CA	Mono	Cypress
227	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N	7/19/00 00:00:00	HI		
228	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Madera	Sacramento
229	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
230	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
231	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
232	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
233	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
234	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
235	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
236	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
237	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	8/23/00 00:00:00	CA	Madera	Sacramento
238	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
239	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	NV		
240	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Glendale
241	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacra
242	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
243	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
244	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Merced	Sacramento
245	V. Not Eligible for NPL		Y	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
246	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Fresno	Clovis/Sacra
247	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	9/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
248	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
249	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
250	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
251	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	NV		
252	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacra
253	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
254	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacra

(24)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 2

A	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P
255	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
256	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	10/18/00 00:00:00	HI		
257	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 3	N	10/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Los Angeles	Glendale
258	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
259	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Riverside	Cypress
260	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
261	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	HI		
262	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	San Bernadino	Cypress
263	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Sacramento	Sacramento
264	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	CA	Stanislaus	Clovis/Sacra
265	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	11/22/00 00:00:00	HI		
266	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Sacramento	Sacramento
267	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
268	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	HI		
269	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	HI		
270	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Kern	Sacramento
271	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Santa Clara	Berkeley
272	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	12/20/00 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
273	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N/A	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	NV		
274	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
275	II. Potentially Eligible		N/A	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	NV		
276	II. Potentially Eligible		N	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley
277	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	CA	Alameda	Berkeley
278	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	1/17/01 00:00:00	CA	Santa Cruz	Berkeley
279	V. Not Eligible for NPL		N	Tier 5	N	2/14/01 00:00:00	CA	Yolo	Sacramento
280	II. Potentially Eligible		Y	Tier 5	N	2/14/01 00:00:00	CA	Sonoma	Berkeley

52

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 3 of 4

A	Q	R	S
1	rwqcb	ee_team	comments
2			
3			1) Duplicate NN Diamond #2 deleted 2/15/00
4			
5			1) PUP Candidate. Nont PLP Caliber. AZ scheduled to rescore
6	5-Sacramento		1) State not addressing all areas of site remediation; 2) HRS Package Cmplt, proposed to NPL (1/7/99)
7			WQARF site, PRPs identified but uncooperative, State conducting investigation. State installed monitoring we
8			WQARF site, metals contamination, State is following up low priority; Removal Checklist 3/99; HIGH Priority
9	7-Colorado River		State lead, removals have been conducted. Stoker Comment- Will drop from proposed list (1/7/99)
10			
11	9-SD		1) No source of plume has been identified, treatment system abandoned due to expense. 2) April 98 RDT did not support listing.
12			1) State lead, prps cooperative but extent is uncertain. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Probably State Lead
13			1) Site is part of Tyson Wash State \$fund site. Possible PCE dischare to septic system/shallow GW 2) Removal candidate identified by Headquarters. Screen out by ERO.
14			Site is State \$fund WQARF site. State has lead and is conducting sampling. Site was informally deferred to
15			1) Plume from landfill has contaminated 2 C o T supply wells and a hospital. Well head treatment inprogress. 2) WQARF Site
16			WQARF site, PRP says the site does not contrb. to GW contamtn. state disagrees; Removal Checklist 3/99
17	1-NC		8/99: PA/SI Completed in November 1998. File forwarded to SST Chow 8/6/99. Some controversy about the absense of a GW scoreing scenario.

A	Q	R	S
18			State water program involved with site, PRP likely to cleanup contamination
19			Water Division order issued requiring addressing of both Water and \$Fund issues. Oral reports from Water Di
20			1) 9/28/99: AZ rescored site. NFA
21			1) State took lead for site in the early 1990's and drafted a Consent Decree. It appears that the CD was never
22	8-Santa Ana		1) DOD likely to clean up contamination. 2) OTHER CLEAN UP - Site is being overseen by RCRA. Site Mitigation and, possibly, the Board, are working on it.
23	3-Central Coast		Sluicing restrictions need to be maintained to prevent migration of PCBs to sedmts
24			1) Site doesn't score but additional info may be needed to be sure that it doesn't have HP releases. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
25	2-SF		Package complete (1/7/98)
26	2-SF		
27			Site officially delegated to RCRA; RCRA has retained the lead for the site.
28			Will need Site visit. Site is associated with Nevada Test Site (suvey data missing)
29			1) Unique environmental issues regarding radionuclide impacts to GW and contaminant transport will require long. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
30			1) State involvement, PRP identified, cooperation uncertain. Need to wrap up. Will not list (1/11/99); Site d. Jeff Inglis is following up on the site per the grant. 2) HQ's identified Removal Candiate.
31	4-LA		Site deferred
32	2-SF		Same site: NVL SPPLY CTR, ALA ANX
33	2-SF		Surface water/sediment addressed/Moffed Field next door
34	7-Colorado River		

(27)

A	Q	R	S
35			cleanup needed, state involvement, PRP viability unknown; Removal Checklist 3/99
36			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Maybe remain state lead with some sort of federal involvement to make sure clean-up continues.
37	3-Central Coast		DOD IRP site
38			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Site is already part of the NCTAMS EASTPAC NPL Site
39	2-SF		DER Program
40	4-LA		Region does not want to list. State involvement. PRP viability unknown
41			PRP likely to cleanup contamination; Removal Checklist 3/99
42			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
43			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
44	2-SF		
45	2-SF		
46			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Already part of Pearl Harbor NPL Site.
47			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
48	2-SF		
49			Candidate. Screened out by ERO.
50	2-SF		
51			WQARF site, state installing wells and doing prp search; Removal Checklist 3/99
52	8-Santa Ana		State and EPA Oversight of cleanup - no NPL
53	2-SF		1) NOAA site lead , working on reports; 2) The site is a Water Division/NOAA lead. No specific information available. OTHER CLEAN UP
54			
55	9-SD		
56			Should not score, but does-defer to State

82

A	Q	R	S
57			State involvement, not sure if site needs further assessment.
58			Site deferred to RCRA. Need to follow up with RCRA (1/11/99)
59			EPA will ask state to archive
60			State involvement, possibly would not score with latest info; Removal Checklist 3/99
61			Site does not score; NFA in C3 required
62			State lead, PRP cooperative; Removal Checklist 3/99
63	6-Lahontan		
64	9-SD		
65			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
66			PRP's are working cooperatively with the State; Low Risk Soil; GW levels high but not used for drinking
67	7-Colorado River		BRAC Program
68	5-Sacramento		1) Site does not score
69			
70	9-SD		
71			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
72			No GW contamination, low priority site. Current Risk in the "other" category due to the lack of formal risk
73			WQARF site, state has lead, RP is cooperative; Removal Checklist 3/99
74			1) 1/00: Site was identified as an ESI candidate in AZ grant. JJ is taking on site as EPA Lead. See also Asarco Ray Mine (PA/SI).
75			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
76			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Most of the contamination is comprised of petroleum products.
77			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
78			
79	5-Fresno		Removal Checklist 3/99

62

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 3

A	Q	R	S
80			1) The site is part of State \$Fund called Tyson Wash. Shallow GW is used for drinking water and is contaminated. 2) Removal candidate identified by HQ's. Screened out of universe by ERO. 3) AZ Rescored site 12/16/98 NFA.
81			WQARF site, doesn't appear to be a source ; Removal Checklist 3/99
82	2-SF		Same site: OKLD NVLL SPLY CTR/ALA
83			1) Part of Tucson Airport Area NPL Site. AFP44 - AF is cooperating - EPA & State of AZ in negotiations for FFA. Proper.
84	9-SD		
85	6-Lahontan		
86			1) State Superfund site PRPs identified; Removal Checklist 3/99. 2) 1/00 Being evaluated for WQARF listing
87			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00
88	8-Santa Ana		
89	7-Colorado River		1) Site does not score
90			Too early to tell about viability of PRPs and cooperation
91			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
92			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Probably state lead clean up of contamination.
93			no cleanup needed
94	2-SF		Site cleanup projected complete by 2001
95			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Petroleum release. Not CERCLA eligible.
96			1) 1/00 Changed MG to GU to save space on matrix
97			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00
98	4-LA		RAP are in place; removals in progress
99	4-LA		RI/FS Cplt in 94/95; outcome unknown
100			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
101			Part of the King Tut Mesa Aggregate site
102	4-LA		
103	5-Sacramento		



A	Q	R	S
104	5-Sacramento		
105			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00
106			1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Site is already part of the Schofield Barracks NPL Site
107			PRPs identified, cooperation uncertain
108			Aggregated into the King Tutt Mesa site
109			
110	1-NC		
111	4-LA		1) On NPL
112	2-SF		GW contamination>MCL; RWQCB approved closure
113	5-Sacramento		PRP working cooperatively with state; Removal Checklist 3/99 - Joint inspection with Water Div. 10/8/1999: Site is in the same basin as Golinski Mine and Mammoth Mine. SST chose not to include this site in referral for Mammoth mine to be listed. 10/9/15/9
114	4-LA		1) RWQCB is lead State agency. GW clean up was underway from '87-'91 when RP went bankrupt. 10/2) 11/99: SST reviewed and found the site as a low priority. OTHER CLEAN UP ACTIVITY
115	5-Sacramento		1)RWQCB lead, Remediation construction to start in 1998. EBMUD working jointly with Board; HIGH Priority based on 10/2) HQ's identified removal candidate. 10/3) 8/88: See Landy status memo. 10/4) 08/99: OTHER CLEAN UP - Water Division/EBMUD lead
116	2-SF		1) State lead since 7/96; well contamination unaddressed. 5/98 letter to DTSC asking what they planned to do about 10/9/15/99: HQ's identified Removal Candidate Screened out by ERO. 10/2) OTHER CLEAN UP
117	4-LA		6 June 99: SST notes regarding site status. No action at this time due to reasonable remediation progress to date. 10/10 June 99: Tom Huetteman identified Bonnie ? to be follow up RPM. Need to confirm Follow Up Procedure with BC.
118	5-Sacramento		PRP submitted report in 1997, describing feasibility of proposed solutions to reduce annual fish kills, and to restore beneficial uses to affected water courses. Lime treatment is also being evaluate. 10/State efforts to plug mine discharge ineffective; Ec



A	Q	R	S
119	2-SF	Wong	1) Surface water is the apparent pathway of primary concern. Because of recreational uses of the marshes and Bay, as well as sport and commercial fishing, there is potential for human contact and human food chain effects. Eleven sensitive species have b
120	4-LA	Wong	1) 8/99: No active state regulatory agency involvement. EPA has been the lead agency since 1985. Although the RWQCB maintains files on the site, they have not been actively involved. 2) 10/99. SST found the site to be a low priority. Contamination as
121	2-SF	Wong	RWQCB lead, slow progress, considering additional enforcement; Removal Checklist 3/99
122		Wong	10/99. SST meeting 10/7/99. Reevaluation conducted by AZDEQ in 11/99 showed that the site still scored and was outside of the WQARF boundaries. 1) 11/99: Draft Site Briefing Summary Completed 11/28. Based on recent SI2 by AZDEQ. 2) WQARF Listing pe
123			confirmed release of PCE to Groundwater, PRP not viable
124	8-Santa Ana	Musante	7/99: Removal assessment scheduled for 7/99. Rich Martyn. 7/99: Recommended for the RDT. 8/99 This site requires a RDT Summary Sheet. 12/99: Evaluated by RDT 12/16/99. OK'ed for listing once community support is established.
125	4-LA	Smith	State lead, await results of GW characterization. 11/99: RWQCB is in control of the site. Ongoing SVE and Pump and Treatment remediation.
126	4-LA	Smith	1) Site does not score. High potential ranking set due to explosive/flammability hazard.
127	5-Fresno	Smith	Site is surrounded by a landfill and other possible GW contamination sources. Adjacent landfill appears to be related. 10/99: This site should be reviewed along with Orange Avenue Disposal Site. 11/99: Contamination levels only slightly greater
128	4-LA	Chen	STATE lead, PRPs cooperative, unknown if they can fund entire cleanup. 10/99: This site should be reviewed along with Chrom Crankshaft. 11/99: Return to E and E to follow up on the status and contents of the order. Return to SST if not adequate. 11/9
129	4-LA	Smith	1) DTSC lead, RI/FS in progress 11/99: NPL caliber site. E & E needs to follow up on draft/final order to determine if site is adequately addressed. 2) SST 11/4/99: High priority Environmental and EPA site due to high concentrations of COC's and n
130	4-LA	Genolio	1) GAO: PRPs likely to cleanup contamination

(32)

A	Q	R	S
131	5-Sacramento	Smith	State lead but not active, EPA should follow up. 1/1/99: Added to Removal/GAO universe based on SST recommendation. 1/12/00: Assigned to T Dunkleman for possible removal assessment.
132	2-SF	Smith	State claims that a VCP Agreement is in place with the PRP. State needs to keep EPA informed of site status. 1/1/99: Referred to removal for assessment per SST recommendation. Site is under a VCP agreement with DTSC. Follow up on what kind of cap was us
133	4-LA	Genolio	10 See also Victoria Golf Course
134	4-LA	Genolio	1) Areawide GW Contamination; nearby St \$Fund site; PA/SI problems identified in 8/98 - may result in revision. 2) See also Martin Adams Dump
135	5-Fresno	Smith	Undergoing routine GW monitoring by RWQCB. 1/10/99: This site should be reviewed with Land Parcel 1/11/99: Contamination levels only slightly greater than MCL's. Soil levels < PRG's. Low level GW contamination of chromium, cadmium, and Carbon TET.
136	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1) OTHER CLEANUP ACTIVITY.
137	5-Fresno	Ladd	EPA followup appropriate. 9/99: E and E has not prioritized this site due to the absense of the files in the Records Center.
138	8-Santa Ana	Smith	PRPs will participate, unknown how much. 1/11/99: Writeup returned to E and E to complete followup on order.
139	2-SF	Smith	Assessment underway; Corrective action Plan due 12/31/98. 1/10/99: Possible SIP; possible eco-site. 1/12/99: SST found that the site was a low priority. CoC hits most likely associated with a hot spot and not likely to be reflective of the site as a whole
140	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1) GAO: Waste water ponds cleaned up. No GW cleanup in progress. Additional soil and GW testing is appropriate. RP. 2) SST: Get quarterly monitoring reports in order to completely assess sites.
141	4-LA	Smith	1) Facility is located adjacent to the Del Amo NPL site; No state involvement; ESI nec; Removal Checklist 3/99. 2) ESI recommendation 1/00. Spoke with Del Amo RPM's - not problem with ESI. See also Martin Adams Dump and Victoria Golf Course. ESI should
142	4-LA	Chen	1) RWQCB involvement pending, GW contamination; Removal Checklist 3/99. 2) 12/99: OTHER CLEAN UP; The site is a high priority site in the state's VCP program. There is no order in place. The RAP is forthcoming. 1/00

(33)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 3

A	Q	R	S
143	4-LA	Smith	1) State lead, PRPs to cleanup site. 12/7: SST found the site to be High priority with significant work yet to be completed. LARWQCB is project manager. "Master" Workplan in progress toward finalization. Sean will review final product upon complet
144	4-LA	Chen	Assessment is underway; Removal Checklist 3/99. See J and S Chrome. 12/99: SST meeting identified the site as a High priority. There is concern that about the risk assessment document written by the RP that states that there is no HH risk associated w
145	2-SF	Musante	state lead, RPs to conduct final cleanup
146	2-SF	Musante	1) GAO: lead, PRPs will conduct final cleanup; Removal Checklist 3/99
147	1-NC	Wong	799 Pre-assessment recon schedule for last quarter of FY99 (OSC Zuroski) 12/3) ERO found that contamination at the site was below removal clean-up le
148	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Assessmen; 12/2) 7/99: ERO review of ESI indicatees that there is low potential for contamination migration to the GW. 12/3) 2/3/00: LOW Environmental R
149	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) HRS package in progress / Crafton - Package in QA process Lockheed (1/7/99) 12/2) 3/00: SST reviewed site along with Lockheed. Redlands airport was reviewed separately.
150	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) No PRP participation; 7/99: 12/2) ERO assessed the site 1/18/00. Site is adjacent to a school and OSC noted that the abandoned site was not fenced. Site is not fenced 12/2) and a search warrent is being processed 12/3) 2/3/00: SST HIGH Envrionmental Ris
151	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1) 10/99/99: PRP and RWQCB working collaboratively on remediation based on recently submitted data. 12/2) OTHER CLEAN UP
152	5-Fresno	Ladd	1) EPA may need to become involved. The site has been on the radar screen for 17 years, but no RI/FS or clean 12/2) 2/3/00 SST: HIGH environmental Risk, LOW priority for EPA involvement due to recent involmnet of CA AG's Office. Janill Richards, Depu
153	4-LA	Chen	1) SST 2/3/00: Low environmental Risk. Low priority for EPA. Need to get copy of VCA to determine protectiveness of the GW monitoring system. Also need to know what the fate of the sludge noted on the report is.
154	4-LA	Chen	1) State lead, unknown if PRPs will fund entire cleanup; 12/2) Removal Checklist 3/99 12/3) 2/3/00 SST: HIGH Environmental Risk, HIGH Priority for EPA Involvmnt. Need to look at other Landfills in the area, e.g. Martin Adams
155	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) 2/3/00 SST: LOW Environmental Risk, LOW Priorty for EPA involmnet. Board asserts that the site is not the source of GW contamination. Instead, a site identified as ITT Cannon is being investigated. 12/2) Possible SIP or SI 2 candidate.



A	Q	R	S
156	2-SF	Musante	1) Site capped further remediation unwarranted. 2) 2/3/00 SST: LOW Environmental Risk, LOW Priority for EPA involvement. Site is capped. Local issue possibly.
157	2-SF	Musante	1) SST 2/3/00: No remediation for 15 year period. Orders dated 1985, 1986, and 1998. High environmental risk for both soils and GW. Not clear if state activity is sufficiently protective. Appears that soil and GW have not been addressed. GW system
158	2-SF	Musante	1) GAO: Too early to tell if addl work will be required to address residual probs, if any; Removal Checklist 3/99. 2) 3/00: SST found that the site was not significantly contaminate.
159	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) RP conducted a cleanup in 1989. county lead. Site requires sampling to determine if NFA/ Not eligible status. 2) Information in the file substantiating the the COC's at the site are not associated with the plating operation. Facility was a nickel pl
160	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) GAO: Plume to be listed on NPL; Airport would need additional info to list. 2) 3/00: SST review concluded that this site was not significantly contaminated. Discussion of this site should be coupled with the Lockheed and Crafton RDT package. RDT can
161	4-LA	Chen	1) State involvement, but company bankrupt, potenial NPL site - Need to follow up w/DTSC (1/7/99). 2) 7/1999: Removal Assessment Scheduled. 3) OTHER CLEAN UP. 4) Removal Drive by took place 1/00. OSC noted a large, active, and operating plating shop.
162	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) GAO: HRS package was initiated in 1998, but cancelled due to new information. 2) 3/00: SST: Lockheed and Crafton were looked at as a group. Redlands airport was reviewed separately.
163	5-Fresno	Chen	1) Soil removed (1993); GW not evaluated; addl soil contam. 2) 1/00: ERO conducted removal assessment in 2/00. No further action likely.
164	9-SD	Smith	1) Awaiting confirmation of PRP clean-up. 2) Removal Checklist 3/99; HQ's identified Removal Candidate . HIGH Priority based on R Assessment. 3) Assigned to T Dunkelman 1/00. Driveby by ERO noted that the site is an operating spa. 4) 3/00:
165	4-LA	Chen	Sampling results for GW & soil scheduled for Spring 1998

(35)

A	Q	R	S
166	5-Fresno	Wong	PRP working with State to cleanup site. Site requires sampling to determine if NFA/ Not eligible status is
167	5-Fresno	Bove	DTSC declared cleanup OK, GW may need to be looked again
168	4-LA	Chen	1) Need additional sampling data to determine if GW contamination is asst'd w/site J2) SST 3/00: Contamination is limited to the upper zone only. Low priority environmental and EPA site.
169	9-SD	Smith	1) Major disagreement b/n state cleanup agencies.; Removal Checklist 3/99 J2
170	5-Sacramento	Genolio	PRPs likely to clean-up entire site; Removal Checklist 3/99
171	4-LA	Chen	1) GAO: State lead, viability of PRP unknown J2) SST: Refer to Ned for ECO assessment High priority ECO and EPA site. High concentrations of VC and metals. Site scored on air and GW pathway only. Soil pathway may need to be addressed. Soils contami
172	9-SD	Smith	State lead low priority, limited funding for removal; Removal Checklist 3/99; HIGH Priority based on R Ass
173	5-Fresno	Wong	1) GAO: State lead, PRPs cooperative but unknown if they can fund entire cleanup J2) Site is adjacent to the Calcott Compress Company and IWP NPL Site.
174	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1. GAO: State lead, RPs to fund entire cleanup
175	2-SF	Musante	Cleanup completed by local FD; DTSC oversight
176	2-SF	Musante	1) Site does not score; NFA in CERCLIS
177	5-Sacramento	Genolio	State on site since 1990; progress is slow; Removal Checklist 3/99
178	8-Santa Ana	Smith	State involvement, unknown if RP will fund entire cleanup
179	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Major source removal and site clean up performed in 1992. Local Water Board has not been able to proved inf
180	1-NC	Wong	RWQCB lead, addressing contamination; HIGH Priority based on R Assessment
181	4-LA	Chen	1) Economic redevelopment of area; Removal Checklist 3/99 J2) Eco Site. Site Assigned to both Hogan and Black. Note that the site is near another Eco site JNJ Sales (in Oxnard)
182	5-Fresno	Wong	1) more site characterization needed, PRPs not viable; J2) Removal Checklist 3/99; HIGH Priority based on R Assess J3) Scheduled originally for 3/2/00; Deferred to 4/13/00.
183	3-Central Coast	Genolio	1) 3/00: ECO site. Assign to both Shipley and Black.
184	5-Fresno	Ladd	1) Past contamination plus new evidence of DBCP; follow up; J2) Removal Checklist 3/99 J3) SST found that the site was a high priority. OTHER CLEANUP ACTIVITY if state is not going to pick it up. SST review 12/99.
185	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1) GAO: State lead, to early to tell J2



A	Q	R	S
186	2-SF	Musante	1) Site does not score per the GAO Report.
187	2-SF	Musante	1) GAO: state lead, prp will participate in cleanup. 2) 3/00: ECO site. Assign to both Chow and Black
188	4-LA	Chen	No regltry involmt; Shallow GW contamination > MCL's; Interconnection is possible
189	2-SF	Musante	1) No clean up nec. to protect H H & Environment. SST review procedural.
190	5-Fresno	Bove	
191	2-SF	Musante	1992 Spill cleaned up; EPA to review followup data; Removal Checklist 3/99
192	4-LA	Chen	1) Site does not score
193	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Cleanup and Abatement Order being negotiated
194	2-SF	Musante	State lead, prp to conduct final cleanup. Site requires sampling to determine if NFA/ Not eligible status i
195	4-LA	Chen	Ongoing GW remediation by DTSC. Site requires sampling to determine if NFA/ Not Eligible status is appropri
196	5-Sacramento	Genolio	state lead, prp will conduct final cleanup
197	5-Fresno	Wong	RWQCB oversight of cleanup, RCRA closure
198		Wong	1) Muni wells upgradient; contam still onsite. 2) 3/00: Site is owned by BLM. May be part of Carson River NPL site. Question of whether the mill used Mercury or cyanide. Near American Flat Creek.
199	2-SF	Musante	1) GW treatment ongoing; contamination levels decreasing. 2) Removal Checklist 3/99. 3) OTHER CLEANUP.
200	8-Santa Ana	Smith	RWQCB characterization of GW in progress.; Removal Checklist 3/99
201	8-Santa Ana	Smith	1) GAO: State lead removal in progress for partial site; Uncertain if GW clean up is regd
202	1-NC	Genolio	1) State actively overseeing cleanup; 2) Removal candidate identified by Headquarters. Screened NFA by ERO
203		Wong	1) State lead, in remediation construction phase. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00. 3) ESI initiated 3/00.
204	2-SF	Musante	State lead, PRPs are funding cleanup
205	4-LA	Chen	State capped waste pits & GW monitoring shows low level contamination; Removal Checklist 3/99
206	1-NC	Genolio	State lead, PRPs to conduct cleanup
207	4-LA	Chen	1) Site does not score; NFA in C3 required
208	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Regionwide GW contamination; PRP contends that multiple sources are involved
209	1-NC	Genolio	1) RWQCB lead, RPs responsive to requests; 2) Removal Checklist 3/99; Screened out by ERO.
210		Wong	1) Updated via DB 1/30/00

(37)

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 3

A	Q	R	S
211	8-Santa Ana	Smith	DTSC lead, remediation is being conducted
212	2-SF	Musante	Source removal 1991; GW monitoring ?
213	1-NC	Genolio	state lead, prp to conduct final cleanup
214	2-SF	Musante	RWQCB terminated remediation, will send closure report to EPA. Report not yet received (1/11/99)
215		Wong	1) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Find out if this things scores.
216	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Clean up and Abatement Order (1988);RWQCB lead. Comment - Offsite GW contamination will likely not be compl
217	4-LA	Chen	Site cleanup in 1985, but GW contamination persists. Cleanup certification missing, Removal Checklist 3/99
218	4-LA	Chen	RCRA Closure completed, possibility of residual contamination
219	3-Central Coast	Genolio	State lead, PRP responsive, unknown if they will fund entire cleanup; A Remedial Action Plan for GW is due 1
220	5-Fresno	Wong	1) GAO. State lead, PRPs likely to cleanup all contamination
221	4-LA	Chen	State lead with EPA support Multiple investigations and remedial investigations are underway.
222	2-SF	Musante	state lead, prps t
223	3-Central Coast	Genolio	Ongoing asbestos risk assumptions issue
224	6-Lahontan	Smith	No regulatory involvement; RWQCB/DTSC consider waste non-haz,insuffic GW data; Removal Checklist 3/99
225	2-SF	Musante	GW contamination declining; Pump & treat in place
226	7-Colorado River	Smith	
227			1) Aggregated with 4 other sites to make score, separate PRPs, noncontiguous sites; 2) Removal Checklist 3/99; 3) Updated via DB 1/30/00
228	3-Central Coast	Genolio	PRPs are actively remediating the site, EPA to receive closure reports
229	2-SF	Musante	State Lead; O and M
230	5-Fresno	Wong	1) GW Assessment is needed; 2) Cleanup relgtd to soils; Removal Checklist 3/99
231	6-Lahontan	Smith	RP and SWRCB working cooperatively
232	4-LA	Chen	RP's responded to ea letter, working with RWQCB
233	2-SF	Musante	state lead, prp to conduct final cleanup
234	5-Fresno	Wong	Need to verify if GW requirements have been met by State
235	6-Lahontan	Smith	State has overseen cleanup, closed site
236	4-LA	Chen	State lead, in RI stage, unknown if RP will fund entire cleanup; Removal Checklist 3/99
237	3-Central Coast	Genolio	state lead, prp to conduct final cleanup



A	Q	R	S
238	4-LA	Chen	No State activity; Arsenic contamination upper and lower aquifers; ESI required.
239		Wong	1) State involvement; Removal Checklist 3/99; 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
240	8-Santa Ana	Smith	EPA removal action complete. Follow up for Cercla.
241	5-Sacramento	Genolio	State lead
242	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Soil/surface complete; GW planned
243	4-LA	Chen	Closure report dated 2/5/93
244	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Site does not score. NFA form to be entered into Cerclis. (1/11/99)
245	2-SF	Musante	Current problem was confined to shallow aquifer. Deeper aquifer not contaminated
246	5-Fresno	Wong	State lead, PRPs cooperative. Site requires sampling to determine if NFA/ Not eligible status is appropriate
247	2-SF	Musante	1) GAO: RWQCB conducting removal & interim GW since 1988
248	2-SF	Musante	RWQCB oversight. Concentrations decreasing.; Removal Checklist 3/99
249	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Additional site characterization is necessary
250	2-SF	Musante	PRP requesting closure; if State ovst discontinues, NPL listing is likely.
251		Wong	1) State lead, PRPs expected to fund entire cleanup; 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
252	5-Sacramento	Genolio	1) Cleanup ongoing, but GWO goals have not been achieved; 2) Removal Checklist 3/99; HQ Removal Candidate. Screened out by ERO.
253	4-LA	Chen	State lead, no info on cleanup; Removal Checklist 3/99
254	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Low priority DTSC site; monitoring well contamination beneath action levels
255	8-Santa Ana	Smith	Treatment system in planning phase
256		Wong	1) Clean up strategy may, in fact, increase the exposure factor 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
257	4-LA	Smith	state lead, prp to conduct final cleanup. Offsite GW contamination will most likely not be completely clean
258	2-SF	Musante	RWQCB thinks contamination is from another site
259	8-Santa Ana	Smith	place. Nea
260	2-SF	Musante	Regionwide GW cntmntn; 3/98 report to ID sites role (schld)
261			1) State lead, unknown if RPs can fund entire cleanup; 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
262	8-Santa Ana	Smith	No State Lead.; Removal Checklist 3/99
263	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Surface soil contamination <EPA action levels
264	5-Sacramento	Genolio	RWQCB lead, may reopen case after new spike of contamination discovered
265		Wong	1) Source of contamination not known. Well is closed. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
266	5-Sacramento	Genolio	State lead

29

A	Q	R	S
267	2-SF	Musante	Regional Board shut (in 1994) soil vapor extraction system to pursue other possible sources of TCE in GW. O
268		Wong	1) State RCRA program lead, As contamination coming from offsite. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00. Site appears to have affected wetlands. State lead not following through with oversight.
269		Wong	1) Updated via DB 1/30/00
270	6-Lahontan	Smith	Cleanup completed
271	2-SF	Musante	Response actions completed by State
272	2-SF	Genolio	RWQCB lead, RPs cooperative; Removal Checklist 3/99
273		Wong	1) Site does not score. 2) Updated via DB 1/30/00
274	2-SF	Genolio	1) Site Does not score. SIP recommends NFA.
275		Wong	1) Concentrations of 10-20 ppb PCE have been detected in drinking water well that serves approx 100 people. 2) HQ's identified Removal Candidate. Screened out by ERO. 3) Updated via DB 1/30/00
276	2-SF	Genolio	RWQCB lead, petroleum contamination only; Removal Checklist 3/99
277	2-SF	Musante	RAP administrated under Special Master - in progress
278	2-SF	Musante	State lead, leachate and gas collection systems in operation
279	5-Sacramento	Genolio	Sampling is ongoing; No further cleanup needed, but continued monitoring is recommended.
280	2-SF	Genolio	Certified clean 1985; EPA investigation shows high lead levels.; Removal Checklist 3/99

40

Sstscre1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4 of 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
1	removal_status	status_code	city	compute_0023	ee_complete
2		EPA Lead			
3		Tribal Lead			
4					
5		State Lead	TUCSON		
6		EPA Lead	Nevada City		
7		State Lead	Phoenix		
8	Pending	State Lead	Mesa		
9		EPA Lead	Imperial		
10			Clifton		
11		EPA Lead	Escondido		
12		TBD	Henderson		
13	No Further Action	State Lead	Quartzsite		
14		State Lead	Phoenix		
15		State Lead	Tucson		
16		State Lead	Phoenix		
17			Crescent City		
18		State Lead	Miami		
19		State Lead	Clarkedale		
20	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Mesa		
21		State Lead	Chandler		
22		EPA Lead	China Lake		
23		EPA Lead	Quincy		
24		State Lead	Waianae		
25		EPA Lead	Vallejo		
26		EPA Lead	Alameda		
27		EPA Lead	Pacific Ocean		
28		TBD	Las Vegas		
29		TBD	Mercury		

(H)

A	T	U	V	W	X
30	No Further Action	Tribal Lead	Montezuma Creek		
31		EPA Lead	Simi Hills		
32		EPA Lead	Alameda		
33		State Lead	Moffett Field		
34		EPA Lead	Bridgeport		
35		State Lead	Phoenix		
36		State Lead	Honolulu		
37		EPA Lead	Lemoore		
38		State Lead	Lualualei		
39		EPA Lead	San Francisco		
40		EPA Lead	South Gate		
41		State Lead	Phoenix		
42		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
43		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
44		EPA Lead	Bolinas		
45		EPA Lead	Oakland		
46		State Lead	Nas, Pearl Harbor		
47		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
48		EPA Lead	Richmond		
49	No Further Action	Tribal Lead	Shiprock		
50		EPA Lead	San Francisco		
51		State Lead	Phoenix		
52		EPA Lead	Tustin		
53		EPA Lead	Farallon Islands		
54		EPA Lead	Greenly County		
55		EPA Lead	San Diego		
56		State Lead	Tucson		
57		State Lead	Payson		
58		State Lead	Casa Grande		
59		State Lead	Ajo		

42

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
60		State Lead	Phoenix		
61		State Lead	Phoenix		
62		State Lead	Phoenix		
63		EPA Lead	Palmdale		
64		EPA Lead	Warner Springs		
65		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
66		State Lead	Phoenix		
67		EPA Lead	Herlong		
68		EPA Lead	Dixon		
69		EPA Lead	Navcams Westpac		
70		EPA Lead	San Diego		
71		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
72		State Lead	Chandler		
73		State Lead	Phoenix		
74		EPA Lead	Hayden		
75		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
76		State Lead	Kaneohe Bay		
77		Tribal Lead	Red Valley		
78		EPA Lead	Agana		
79		EPA Lead	Fresno		
80	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Quartzite		
81		State Lead	Tucson		
82		EPA Lead	Alameda		
83		State Lead	Tucson		
84		EPA Lead	San Diego		
85		EPA Lead	Fort Irwin		
86		State Lead	Tucson		
87		State Lead	Honolulu		
88		EPA Lead	Point Magu		
89		EPA Lead	Twentynine Palms		
90		EPA Lead	Tucson		
91	No Further Action	Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		

(43)

A	T	U	V	W	X
92		State Lead	Kaneohe		
93		EPA Lead	Saipan Harbor		
94		EPA Lead	Novato		
95		State Lead	Honolulu		
96		EPA Lead	Midway Is		
97		State Lead	Hawthorne		
98		EPA Lead	Port Hueneme		
99		EPA Lead	Long Beach		
100		Tribal Lead	Beclabito		
101		Tribal Lead	Oak Springs		
102		EPA Lead	Long Beach		
103		EPA Lead	Davis		
104		EPA Lead	Stockton		
105		State Lead	Honolulu		
106		State Lead	Wahiawa		
107		State Lead	Phoenix		
108	No Further Action	Tribal Lead	Red Valley		
109		State Lead	Tucson		
110			Happy Camp		
111		EPA Lead	Maywood	12/25/98 00:00:00	
112		EPA Lead	Santa Clara	3/25/99 00:00:00	
113	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Redding	5/26/99 00:00:00	
114		EPA Lead	Los Angeles	6/24/99 00:00:00	
115	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Campo Seco	7/28/99 00:00:00	
116	No Further Action	State Lead	Santa Rosa	7/28/99 00:00:00	
117	Not Applicable	EPA Lead	Seal Beach	7/28/99 00:00:00	
118	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Redding	7/29/99 00:00:00	
119		EPA Lead	Richmond	9/30/99 00:00:00	
120		EPA Lead	Santa Fe Springs	9/30/99 00:00:00	
121	Pending	EPA Lead	Santa Rosa	9/30/99 00:00:00	
122		State Lead	Payson	9/30/99 00:00:00	

94

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
123		State Lead	Tucson	10/28/99 00:00:00	
124	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Riverside	10/28/99 00:00:00	9/23/99 00:00:00
125		EPA Lead	South Gate	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/25/99 00:00:00
126		EPA Lead	Torrance	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/25/99 00:00:00
127		EPA Lead	Fresno	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/20/99 00:00:00
128		State Lead	Bell Gardens	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/25/99 00:00:00
129		State Lead	Venice	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/20/99 00:00:00
130		EPA Lead	Santa Monica	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/25/99 00:00:00
131	Pending	EPA Lead	Yuba City	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/20/99 00:00:00
132	Pending	State Lead	Mountain View	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/20/99 00:00:00
133		EPA Lead	Carson	10/28/99 00:00:00	9/23/99 00:00:00
134		State Lead	Carson	10/28/99 00:00:00	9/23/99 00:00:00
135		EPA Lead	Fresno	10/28/99 00:00:00	10/20/99 00:00:00
136		EPA Lead	Modesto	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/21/90 00:00:00
137		State Lead	Shafter	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/23/99 00:00:00
138		EPA Lead	Anaheim	11/25/99 00:00:00	
139		EPA Lead	Pittsburg	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/19/99 00:00:00
140		EPA Lead	Modesto	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/23/99 00:00:00
141		EPA Lead	Torrance	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/19/99 00:00:00
142		EPA Lead	El Segundo	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/19/99 00:00:00
143		EPA Lead	Santa Fe Springs	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/19/99 00:00:00
144		State Lead	Bell Gardens	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/19/99 00:00:00
145		EPA Lead	Antioch	11/25/99 00:00:00	
146		EPA Lead	Antioch	11/25/99 00:00:00	11/23/99 00:00:00
147	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Douglas City	1/26/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
148	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Live Oak	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
149		EPA Lead	Riverside	1/27/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00

95

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
150	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	San Bernardino	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
151		EPA Lead	Modesto	1/27/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
152		State Lead	Bakersfield	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
153		State Lead	City Of Commerce	1/27/00 00:00:00	
154		State Lead	Carson	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
155		EPA Lead	Santa Ana	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
156		EPA Lead	Benicia	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
157		State Lead	Brisbane	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
158		EPA Lead	Cupertino	1/27/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
159	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Santa Ana	1/27/00 00:00:00	12/22/99 00:00:00
160		EPA Lead	Redlands	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
161	Pending	EPA Lead	South Gate	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
162		EPA Lead	Redlands	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
163	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Fresno	2/24/00 00:00:00	
164	Removal Assessment	EPA Lead	Murrieta	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
165		State Lead	Saugus	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
166		State Lead	Visalia	2/24/00 00:00:00	
167		EPA Lead	Fresno	2/24/00 00:00:00	
168		EPA Lead	South Gate	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
169		State Lead	Escondido	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
170		EPA Lead	Stockton	2/24/00 00:00:00	
171		EPA Lead	Oxnard	2/24/00 00:00:00	2/2/00 00:00:00
172	Pending	EPA Lead	Ripley	3/30/00 00:00:00	
173		State Lead	Pinedale	3/30/00 00:00:00	
174		State Lead	Chico	3/30/00 00:00:00	
175		EPA Lead	Milpitas	3/30/00 00:00:00	
176		EPA Lead	Monterey	3/30/00 00:00:00	
177		EPA Lead	Chico	3/30/00 00:00:00	
178		EPA Lead	Santa Ana	3/30/00 00:00:00	

96

Sstscrc1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
179	Pending	EPA Lead	San Bernardino	4/6/00 00:00:00	
180	Pending	EPA Lead	Sebastopol	4/6/00 00:00:00	
181		EPA Lead	Oxnard	4/6/00 00:00:00	
182	Pending	EPA Lead	Bakersfield	4/6/00 00:00:00	
183	Pending	EPA Lead	Felton	4/6/00 00:00:00	
184	Pending	State Lead	Fresno	4/6/00 00:00:00	11/23/99 00:00:00
185		EPA Lead	Rancho Cordova	4/6/00 00:00:00	
186		EPA Lead	Livermore	4/6/00 00:00:00	
187		EPA Lead	Martinez	4/6/00 00:00:00	
188		EPA Lead	Carson	4/6/00 00:00:00	
189		EPA Lead	Campbell	4/6/00 00:00:00	
190		State Lead	Pinedale	4/6/00 00:00:00	
191		EPA Lead	Richmond	4/27/00 00:00:00	
192		State Lead	Carson	4/27/00 00:00:00	
193		EPA Lead	Delano	4/27/00 00:00:00	
194		EPA Lead	Richmond	4/27/00 00:00:00	
195		State Lead	Carson	4/27/00 00:00:00	
196		State Lead	Elmira	4/27/00 00:00:00	
197		EPA Lead	Visalia	4/27/00 00:00:00	
198		State Lead	Virginia City	5/25/00 00:00:00	
199	No Further Action	EPA Lead	San Jose	5/25/00 00:00:00	
200		EPA Lead	Anaheim	5/25/00 00:00:00	
201		State Lead	Anaheim	5/25/00 00:00:00	
202	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Eureka	5/25/00 00:00:00	
203		EPA Lead	Mountain City	5/25/00 00:00:00	
204		State Lead	San Jose	5/25/00 00:00:00	
205		State Lead	Gardena	5/25/00 00:00:00	
206		EPA Lead	Eureka	5/25/00 00:00:00	
207		EPA Lead	Fillmore	5/25/00 00:00:00	
208		EPA Lead	Costa Mesa	5/28/00 00:00:00	

(47)

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
209	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Fort Bragg	6/29/00 00:00:00	
210		State Lead	Ely	6/29/00 00:00:00	
211		State Lead	Corona	6/29/00 00:00:00	
212		EPA Lead	San Jose	6/29/00 00:00:00	
213		EPA Lead	Eureka	6/29/00 00:00:00	
214		EPA Lead	San Jose	6/29/00 00:00:00	
215		State Lead	Eureka	6/29/00 00:00:00	
216		EPA Lead	Anaheim	6/29/00 00:00:00	
217		EPA Lead	Los Angeles	6/29/00 00:00:00	
218		EPA Lead	Los Angeles	6/29/00 00:00:00	
219		State Lead	Madera	7/27/00 00:00:00	
220		EPA Lead	Fresno	7/27/00 00:00:00	
221		EPA Lead	Santa Monica	7/27/00 00:00:00	
222		EPA Lead	San Jose	7/27/00 00:00:00	
223		EPA Lead	King City	7/27/00 00:00:00	
224		EPA Lead	Colton	7/27/00 00:00:00	
225		EPA Lead	Santa Clara	7/27/00 00:00:00	
226		EPA Lead	Bridgeport	7/27/00 00:00:00	
227		State Lead	Ewa Beach	7/27/00 00:00:00	
228		EPA Lead	Madera	8/31/00 00:00:00	
229		State Lead	Santa Clara	8/31/00 00:00:00	
230	No Further Action	State Lead	Fresno	8/31/00 00:00:00	
231		EPA Lead	Colton	8/31/00 00:00:00	
232		EPA Lead	South Gate	8/31/00 00:00:00	
233		EPA Lead	Santa Clara	8/31/00 00:00:00	
234		State Lead	Fresno	8/31/00 00:00:00	
235		EPA Lead	Colton	8/31/00 00:00:00	
236		State Lead	South Gate	8/31/00 00:00:00	
237		EPA Lead	Madera	8/31/00 00:00:00	
238		EPA Lead	South Gate	9/28/00 00:00:00	
239		State Lead	Gardnerville	9/28/00 00:00:00	
240		EPA Lead	Montclair	9/28/00 00:00:00	

48

Sstscres1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
241		EPA Lead	Modesto	9/28/00 00:00:00	
242		EPA Lead	Grand Terrace	9/28/00 00:00:00	
243		EPA Lead	South Gate	9/28/00 00:00:00	
244		EPA Lead	Merced	9/28/00 00:00:00	
245		EPA Lead	Santa Clara	9/28/00 00:00:00	
246		EPA Lead	Fresno	9/28/00 00:00:00	
247		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	9/28/00 00:00:00	
248		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	10/26/00 00:00:00	
249		EPA Lead	Oro Grande	10/26/00 00:00:00	
250		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	10/26/00 00:00:00	
251		State Lead	Henderson	10/26/00 00:00:00	
252	No Further Action	EPA Lead	Modesto	10/26/00 00:00:00	
253		EPA Lead	Torrance	10/26/00 00:00:00	
254		State Lead	Modesto	10/26/00 00:00:00	
255		EPA Lead	Ontario	10/26/00 00:00:00	
256		State Lead	Hilo	10/26/00 00:00:00	
257		State Lead	Santa Fe Springs	10/28/00 00:00:00	10/25/99 00:00:00
258		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	11/30/00 00:00:00	
259		EPA Lead	Riverside	11/30/00 00:00:00	
260		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	11/30/00 00:00:00	
261		State Lead	Kailua	11/30/00 00:00:00	
262		EPA Lead	San Bernardino	11/30/00 00:00:00	
263		EPA Lead	Sacramento	11/30/00 00:00:00	
264		EPA Lead	Modesto	11/30/00 00:00:00	
265		State Lead	Honolulu	11/30/00 00:00:00	
266		EPA Lead	Sacramento	12/28/00 00:00:00	
267		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	12/28/00 00:00:00	
268		State Lead	Kalamaula	12/28/00 00:00:00	
269		EPA Lead	Kailua-Kona	12/28/00 00:00:00	

49

Sstscree1.wk4, TDD 09-98-03-0011-G

Part 4

A	T	U	V	W	X
270		EPA Lead	Tehachapi	12/28/00 00:00:00	
271		EPA Lead	Sunnyvale	12/28/00 00:00:00	
272		EPA Lead	Santa Rosa	12/28/00 00:00:00	
273		State Lead	Sparcs	1/25/01 00:00:00	
274		EPA Lead	Santa Rosa	1/25/01 00:00:00	
275	No Further Action	State Lead	Verdi	1/25/01 00:00:00	
276		EPA Lead	Santa Rosa	1/25/01 00:00:00	
277		State Lead	Union City	1/25/01 00:00:00	
278		EPA Lead	Watsonville	1/25/01 00:00:00	
279		EPA Lead	Woodland	2/22/01 00:00:00	
280		EPA Lead	Santa Rosa	2/22/01 00:00:00	



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-G

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Barbara Lee
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 04/04/2000
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Non-Dedicated LOE
Estimated Cost : \$51,000.00	Estimated Completion Date: 09/30/2000
Estimated Hours: 690	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 690	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 04/04/2000 02:13:34 PM, according to /USI

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

04/04/2000

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-H

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 09/30/2000		Created On: 06/06/2000 DPO/PO: Barbara Lee Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco, CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost: \$51,000.00 Estimated Hrs: 690 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 690
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ9092 () Site Assessment (PA/SIs) \$51,000.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$252,500.00 Hours: 3,860 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 3,740		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: High

Comments: Amendment H adds additional funds of \$51,000 and 690 non-dedicated LOE hours and extends the completion date from 06/30/00 to 9/30/00. The scope of the project is unchanged. Funding allows for approximately 30 additional sites to be evaluated.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Matt Mitguard/R9/USEPA/US on 05/31/2000 11:35:41 AM, according to /U
Matt Mitguard
Signed On: 05/31/2000

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 06/06/2000 01:45:29 PM, according to /U
Project Officer: 06/01/2000

Barbara Lee

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

06/06/2000

Barbara Bycsek

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-H

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Barbara Lee
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 06/06/2000
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$51,000.00	Estimated Completion Date: 09/30/2000
Estimated Hours: 690	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 690	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 06/06/2000 03:39:00 PM, according to /USI

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

06/06/2000

Signed On:



Technical Direction Document Amendment

09-98-03-0011-I

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 10/30/2000		Created On: 09/30/2000 DPO/PO: Ivon Collins Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco , CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:		Estimated Cost : \$0.00 Estimated Hrs: 0 Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 0
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ9092 () Site Assessment (PA/SIs) \$0.00		Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$252,500.00 Hours: 3,860 Dedicated Hours: 120 Non-Dedicated Hours: 3,740		Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: High

Comments:

Amendment I extends the period of performance from 9/30/00 to 10/30/00 to complete the evaluation of the 30 sites. If any new sites are added the task monitor shall amend this TDD.

Amendment H adds additional funds of \$51,000 and 690 non-dedicated LOE hours and extends the completion date from 06/30/00 to 9/30/00. The scope of the project is unchanged. Funding allows for approximately 30 additional sites to be evaluated.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Ivon Collins/R9/USEPA/US on 09/29/2000 11:23:19 AM, according to /USE
09/29/2000

Ivon Collins

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 09/30/2000 11:29:02 AM, acco

Project Officer:

09/29/2000

Ivon Collins

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

09/30/2000

Barbara Bycsek

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-I

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Ivon Collins
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 10/02/2000
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$0.00	Estimated Completion Date:10/30/2000
Estimated Hours: 0	
Dedicated: 0	
Non-Dedicated: 0	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 10/02/2000 07:26:09 AM, according to /US]

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

10/02/2000

Signed On:



**Technical Direction Document
Amendment**

09-98-03-0011-J

START CONTRACT #: 68-W6-0010

Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor Completion Date: 12/14/2000	Created On: 10/11/2000 DPO/PO: Ivon Collins Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard Task Codes: J; HR
Site/Project Name: Site Screening County Name: San Francisco City, State, Zip: San Francisco , CA SSID #: CERCLIS #:	Estimated Cost : \$0.00 Estimated Hrs: 240 Dedicated: 240 Non-Dedicated: 0
Funds Source: CERCLA Site Assessment DCN #(s): HJ9092 () Site Assessment (PA/SIs) \$0.00	Deliverable: Final Report, Monthly Meeting/Conference Call Overtime: Not Applicable Reference: No
TDD Expenditure Limit: \$252,500.00 Hours: 4,100 Dedicated Hours: 360 Non-Dedicated Hours: 3,740	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated Priority: High

Comments:

Amendment J extends the period of performance from 10/30/00 to 12/14/00 and adds 240 dedicated hours to complete the site evaluations. This TDD is incrementally funded.

Amendment I extends the period of performance from 9/30/00 to 10/30/00 to complete the evaluation of the 30 sites. If any new sites are added the task monitor shall amend this TDD.

Amendment H adds additional funds of \$51,000 and 690 non-dedicated LOE hours and extends the completion date from 06/30/00 to 9/30/00. The scope of the project is unchanged. Funding allows for approximately 30 additional sites to be evaluated.

Deliverables are as follows:

1. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - New sites (prioritizations)
2. Region 9 Site Screening Checklists - Quality Assurance of State deliverables (prioritizations)
3. Site Briefing Summaries (RDT referral sites)
4. Revision and enhancement of Region 9 Site Screening Checklist Format
5. Data management of screening data base

GAO Site Universe: A primary focus for the TDD will be the management, prioritization, and summary of all of the "eligible" sites identified in the GAO Report titled "HAZARDOUS WASTE: Information on Potential Superfund Sites" dated November 1998.

A. TDD Created By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 10/11/2000 07:44:49 AM, according to /l

Signed On:

B. Reviewed and Approved By: - Signed by Barbara Bycsek/R9/USEPA/US on 10/11/2000 07:44:49 AM, acco

Project Officer:

10/06/2000

Ivon Collins

Signed On:

Contract Officer:

10/11/2000

Barbara Bycsek

Signed On:

Contractor:



TDD Acceptance Report

09-98-03-0011-J

START CONTRACT # 68-W6-0010

Site/Project Name: Site Screening	DPO/PO: Ivon Collins
Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal	Created On: 10/11/2000
Task: Site Screening	Priority: High
General Task Description: Apply the CERCLA Site Screening to Sites as directed by Task Monitor	Staffing: Dedicated and Non-Dedicated
Estimated Cost : \$0.00	Estimated Completion Date: 12/14/2000
Estimated Hours: 240	
Dedicated: 240	
Non-Dedicated: 0	

Acceptance Comments: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 10/11/2000 08:34:12 AM, according to /US

Accepted by:

Maria Cruz

10/11/2000

Signed On:



Acknowledgement of Completion (AOC)

09-98-03-0011

START CONTRACT #:68-W6-0010

Site Name: Site Screening Activity Type: IV.A-s Site Assessment Non-removal Task: Site Screening Task Codes: J; HR	Priority: High DPO/PO: Ivon Collins Task Monitor: Matt Mitguard
E.L. Hours: 4,100 E.L. Cost: \$252,500.00 Dedicated: 360 Non-Dedicated: 3,740	Source of Funds: CERCLA Site Assessment Date Created: 12/22/2000 Deliverable: Final Report Monthly Meeting/Conference Call

Contractor Section: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 12/22/2000 08:30:55 AM, according to
Completion Date: 12/22/2000

Final Hours: Dedicated: 0 Non-Dedicated: 0 Final Cost: \$0.00	DCN charging: HJ8041 () Site Assessment \$0.00 H7J007 () Site Assessment \$0.00 H7J038 () Site Assessment \$0.00 HJ9007 () Site Assessment \$0.00 HJ9092 () Site Assessment (PA/SIs) \$0.00
--	---

Activities Performed:

The EPA tasked the START to conduct Site Prioritization Profiles for privately-owned CERCLIS sites in California. For each site, START reviewed the EPA file and contacted state and/or local agencies to collect the most current site-related regulatory and remedial information. START completed the EPA Region 9 Site Prioritization Profiles for each site, which included a written report summarizing pertinent information about the site, contact reports, maps, and analytical data.

Contractor Signature:

I certify that all required tasks have been completed and all deliverables submitted and approved:

12/22/2000

Signed On:

EPA Action: - Signed by Maria Cruz/R9/USEPA/US on 12/22/2000 08:30:55 AM, according to